**ECE 2390/1390 Group Project**

**Group Name: DC Not DC**

**Group Members:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Comments | Deductions |
| **Documentation** (15 points)   * Repository info readme are documented and kept updated (6pts) * Actual code is documented and easy to follow (6pts) * Any additional code is cited and proper licensing followed (3pts) | Your readMe file was good (and the one of few that actually properly told the user which files to start with and how to run the code). Your line-by-line was good and exactly what I wanted to see with documenting what a function did and its inputs. | Missing licensing info on Deepface.  14/15 |
| **Use of GitHub** (15 points)   * Code commits are incremental (5pts) * Code tests and benchmarks are noted (5pts) * Work is represented from all members (5pts) | Your use of github was pretty good (commits throughout the semester and reasonable comment information). All of the commits were from one teammate. You didn’t have a lot of code benchmarks and I would have like to see a bit more testing | 13/15 |
| **Project requirements** (10points)   * Did you incorporate learning from the course into your project? | I think you done a bit more to use lessons from the course. It seems once you found the deepface code, you kind of abandoned trying more image processing methods. | 9/10 |
| **Overall** (20 points)   * Challenge (10pts). Was the project appropriate difficulty? If the project was too easy, did the team add features? * Innovation (10pts). Did the team develop something novel? | I felt that your project was maybe not quite challenging enough in that once you settled on Deepface, the project was easily finished. I would have liked to see you go back and try to then add some of the features originally proposed like finding people in their chairs, etc | 17/20 |

Total 53/60.